

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB NO. 0704-0188		
<p>The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA, 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.</p> <p>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</p>					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 04-09-2015		2. REPORT TYPE Final Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 1-Aug-2014 - 30-Apr-2015	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Final Report: Compressive Imaging via Approximate Message Passing			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER W911NF-14-1-0314		
			5b. GRANT NUMBER		
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 611102		
6. AUTHORS Dror Baron			5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
			5e. TASK NUMBER		
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES AND ADDRESSES North Carolina State University 2701 Sullivan Drive Suite 240 Raleigh, NC 27695 -7514			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES) U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) ARO		
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 65803-CS-II.13		
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.					
14. ABSTRACT This project considers compressive imaging problems, where images are reconstructed from as few linear measurements as possible. Compressive imaging can be applied to a broad range of applications, including medical imaging, seismic imaging, and hyperspectral imaging. We propose novel compressive imaging algorithms that employ approximate message passing (AMP), which is an iterative signal estimation algorithm that performs component-wise denoising to noisy signals. In contrast, we apply non-separable denoisers to imaging with random matrices and hyperspectral imaging in CASSI systems. Numerical results demonstrate that our proposed algorithms					
15. SUBJECT TERMS approximate message passing, compressive imaging, compressive sensing, hyperspectral imaging, signal reconstruction					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	15. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Dror Baron
a. REPORT UU	b. ABSTRACT UU	c. THIS PAGE UU			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER 919-513-7974

Report Title

Final Report: Compressive Imaging via Approximate Message Passing

ABSTRACT

This project considers compressive imaging problems, where images are reconstructed from as few linear measurements as possible. Compressive imaging can be applied to a broad range of applications, including medical imaging, seismic imaging, and hyperspectral imaging. We propose novel compressive imaging algorithms that employ approximate message passing (AMP), which is an iterative signal estimation algorithm that performs component-wise denoising to noisy signals. In contrast, we apply non-separable denoisers to imaging with random matrices and hyperspectral imaging in CASSI systems. Numerical results demonstrate that our proposed algorithms significantly improve over current state-of-the-art compressive imaging algorithms in terms of both estimation error and run-time.

Enter List of papers submitted or published that acknowledge ARO support from the start of the project to the date of this printing. List the papers, including journal references, in the following categories:

(a) Papers published in peer-reviewed journals (N/A for none)

<u>Received</u>	<u>Paper</u>
09/04/2015	6.00 Nikhil Krishnan, Dror Baron. A Universal Parallel Two-Pass MDL Context Tree Compression Algorithm, IEEE JOURNAL OF SELECTED TOPICS IN in Signal Processing, (06 2015): 1. doi:
09/04/2015	7.00 Jin Tan, Yanting Ma, Dror Baron. Compressive Imaging via Approximate MessagePassing with Image Denoising , IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, (04 2015): 2085. doi:
09/04/2015	5.00 Junan Zhu, Dror Baron, Marco Duarte. Recovery from Linear Measurements with Complexity-Matching Universal Signal Estimation, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, (03 2015): 1512. doi:
09/04/2015	8.00 Yanting Ma, Dror Baron, Deanna Needell. Two-Part Reconstruction with Noisy-Sudocodes, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, (12 2014): 6323. doi:
TOTAL:	4

Number of Papers published in peer-reviewed journals:

(b) Papers published in non-peer-reviewed journals (N/A for none)

<u>Received</u>	<u>Paper</u>
-----------------	--------------

TOTAL:

Number of Papers published in non peer-reviewed journals:

(c) Presentations

The following works were presented at conference meetings but not published in proceedings:

1. R. Fayez, J. Young, J. Tan, Y. Ma, and D. Baron, "Image Reconstruction in Radio Astronomy," Duke Workshop on Sensing and Analysis of High-Dimensional Data, Durham, NC, July 2015.
2. Y. Ma, J. Zhu, and D. Baron, "Universal Denoising in Approximate Message Passing," Duke Workshop on Sensing and Analysis of High-Dimensional Data, Durham, NC, July 2015.
3. Y. Ma, J. Zhu, and D. Baron, "Universal Denoising and Approximate Message Passing," presented at Inf. Theory Applications Workshop, San Diego, CA, February 2015.

Number of Presentations: 3.00

Non Peer-Reviewed Conference Proceeding publications (other than abstracts):

<u>Received</u>	<u>Paper</u>
-----------------	--------------

TOTAL:

Number of Non Peer-Reviewed Conference Proceeding publications (other than abstracts):

Peer-Reviewed Conference Proceeding publications (other than abstracts):

<u>Received</u>	<u>Paper</u>
-----------------	--------------

- | | | |
|------------|-------|---|
| 09/04/2015 | 9.00 | Yanting Ma, Junan Zhu, Dror Baron. Compressed Sensing via Universal Denoising and Approximate Message Passing, Allerton Conference. 01-OCT-14, . . . , |
| 09/04/2015 | 10.00 | Jin Tan, Yanting Ma, Dror Baron. Compressive Imaging via Approximate Message Passing with Wavelet-Based Image Denoising, IEEE Global Conf. Signal Inf. Process.. 04-DEC-14, . . . , |
| 09/04/2015 | 11.00 | Nikhil Krishnan, Dror Baron. Performance of Parallel Two-Pass MDL Context Tree Algorithm, IEEE Global Conf. Signal Inf. Process.. 03-DEC-14, . . . , |
| 09/04/2015 | 12.00 | Yanting Ma, Dror Baron, Ahmad Beirami. Mismatched Estimation in Large Linear Systems, IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory. 15-JUN-15, . . . , |

TOTAL: **4**

Number of Peer-Reviewed Conference Proceeding publications (other than abstracts):

(d) Manuscripts

<u>Received</u>	<u>Paper</u>
09/04/2015	1.00 Jin Tan, Yanting Ma, Hoover Rueda, Dror Baron, Gonzalo R. Arce. Compressive Hyperspectral Imaging via Approximate Message Passing, IEEE JOURNAL OF SELECTED TOPICS IN in Signal Processing (04 2015)
09/04/2015	2.00 Yanting Ma, Junan Zhu, Dror Baron. Approximate Message Passing with Universal Denoising, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing (06 2015)
TOTAL:	2

Number of Manuscripts:

Books

<u>Received</u>	<u>Book</u>
TOTAL:	

<u>Received</u>	<u>Book Chapter</u>
TOTAL:	

Patents Submitted

Compressive imaging using approximate message passing with denoising

Patents Awarded

Awards

Graduate Students

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PERCENT SUPPORTED</u>	Discipline
Jin Tan	1.00	
Yanting Ma	0.20	
FTE Equivalent:	1.20	
Total Number:	2	

Names of Post Doctorates

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PERCENT SUPPORTED</u>
FTE Equivalent:	
Total Number:	

Names of Faculty Supported

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PERCENT SUPPORTED</u>	National Academy Member
Dror Baron	0.05	No
FTE Equivalent:	0.05	
Total Number:	1	

Names of Under Graduate students supported

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PERCENT SUPPORTED</u>
FTE Equivalent:	
Total Number:	

Student Metrics

This section only applies to graduating undergraduates supported by this agreement in this reporting period

The number of undergraduates funded by this agreement who graduated during this period: 0.00

The number of undergraduates funded by this agreement who graduated during this period with a degree in science, mathematics, engineering, or technology fields:..... 0.00

The number of undergraduates funded by your agreement who graduated during this period and will continue to pursue a graduate or Ph.D. degree in science, mathematics, engineering, or technology fields:..... 0.00

Number of graduating undergraduates who achieved a 3.5 GPA to 4.0 (4.0 max scale):..... 0.00

Number of graduating undergraduates funded by a DoD funded Center of Excellence grant for Education, Research and Engineering:..... 0.00

The number of undergraduates funded by your agreement who graduated during this period and intend to work for the Department of Defense 0.00

The number of undergraduates funded by your agreement who graduated during this period and will receive scholarships or fellowships for further studies in science, mathematics, engineering or technology fields:..... 0.00

Names of Personnel receiving masters degrees

<u>NAME</u>

Total Number:

Names of personnel receiving PHDs

<u>NAME</u>

Jin Tan (expected September 2015)

Total Number:	1
----------------------	---

Names of other research staff

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PERCENT SUPPORTED</u>
-------------	--------------------------

FTE Equivalent:

Total Number:

Sub Contractors (DD882)

Inventions (DD882)

Scientific Progress

The project made major contributions to compressive imaging. Two lines of work in compressive imaging reconstruction were pursued. The first involved 2D image reconstruction using random measurement matrices. The second involved reconstructing 3D hyper spectral image cubes, where the measurement matrices were those modeled for the well known CASSI system for compressive hyper spectral image acquisition; these CASSI matrices are highly structured. For both lines of work, new reconstruction algorithms based on approximate message passing (AMP) significantly improve over current state-of-the-art algorithms in terms of both estimation error and run-time.

The project also indirectly contributed to the PI's research on two compressed sensing reconstruction algorithms (see the journal paper by Zhu et al. from early 2015 and the journal submission by Ma et al. from mid 2015), and another work on fast parallel algorithms for data compression (see the journal paper by Krishnan and Baron from mid 2015).

Technology Transfer

We have started evaluating possible technology transfer.

Final Progress Report: Compressive Imaging via Approximate Message Passing

Dror Baron – North Carolina State University

1 Introduction

This report summarizes progress made during the project “Compressive Imaging via Approximate Message Passing.” Below we state the problem in Section 2, and then summarize the important results in Section 3.

2 Statement of Problem

Compressed sensing (CS) [1, 2] has sparked a tremendous amount of research activity in recent years, because it performs signal acquisition and processing using far fewer samples than required by the Nyquist rate. Breakthroughs in CS have the potential to greatly reduce the sampling rates in numerous signal processing applications such as cameras [3], medical scanners, fast analog to digital converters [4, 5], and high speed radar [6].

The intellectual foundations underlying CS rely on the ubiquitous compressibility of signals: in an appropriate basis, most of the information contained in a signal often resides in just a few large coefficients. Traditional sensing and processing first acquires the entire data, only to later throw away most coefficients and retain the few significant ones [7]. Interestingly, the information contained in the few large coefficients can be captured by a small number of random linear projections [8]. The ground-breaking work in CS [1, 2, 6] has proved for a variety of settings that the signal can then be reconstructed in a computationally feasible manner from these random projections.

Compressed sensing has been used in compressive imaging, where the input signal is an image, and the goal is to acquire the image using as few measurements as possible. Acquiring images in a compressive manner requires less sampling time than conventional imaging technologies. Applications of compressive imaging appear in medical imaging [9–11], seismic imaging [12], and hyperspectral imaging [13, 14].

As a motivating example, consider an image containing 512×512 pixels, which is roughly a quarter million pixels. We can measure the image in a compressive manner using perhaps 50,000–100,000 linear projections (20–40% of the number of pixels), and later reconstruct the original 512×512 image using some CS reconstruction algorithm. The reduction in the number of measurements is possible, because images have sparse wavelet coefficients [15], meaning that most wavelet coefficients are small in magnitude. Sparsity in the wavelet domain allows the image to be acquired and reconstructed from far fewer measurements than the total number of pixels. *Despite promising past work on compressive imaging reconstruction algorithms, there is still great potential for faster algorithms that reconstruct more precisely.*

To pursue such algorithms, we used approximate message passing (AMP) [16], which is an iterative signal estimation framework that converts a linear inverse problem, $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}$, into a scalar estimation problem, $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}$, and performs scalar denoising in each iteration. The input of the scalar denoiser is a vector of noisy observations, and these observations are of the same length as the input signal vector \mathbf{x} . Typically, the scalar denoising function is component-wise and separable. That is, each entry of the input signal is denoised from its corresponding noisy observation. In contrast, we propose a non-separable denoiser that uses all the noisy observations to estimate each input component. The advantage of our proposed denoiser is that it makes full use of all available observations to extract information pertaining to the input signal. Therefore, our approach can estimate the input signal more accurately.

The main problem addressed in this short term innovative research (STIR) program was to significantly improve over current state-of-the-art compressive imaging algorithms in terms of both estimation error and runtime. Specific problems included:

- **Non-separable denoisers in AMP:** Bayati and Montanari have proved rigorously that AMP supports component-wise denoisers [17]. However, our results suggest that AMP succeeds in converting the matrix problem, $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}$, into a scalar channel setting, $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}$, even when the denoiser is non-separable. We applied non-separable image denoisers within AMP iterations.
- **Other applications:** We expanded our denoising approaches from 2-dimensional images to 3-dimensional volumes, and applied these AMP-based reconstruction algorithms to hyperspectral imaging systems. In both the 2D and 3D cases, we significantly improved over current state-of-the-art compressive imaging algorithms in terms of both estimation error and runtime.

3 Summary of Important Results

Main results: The project studied two different compressive imaging problems. The first involved 2D images being acquired by random measurement matrices, and the second involved 3D hyper spectral image cubes acquired by a coded aperture snapshot spectral imaging (CASSI) system [18]. For both systems, we employed non-separable denoisers within AMP. For reconstructing 2D images, our algorithm [19, 20] uses an adaptive Wiener filter [21] for 2D denoising. Another option is to use a more sophisticated image 2D denoiser such as BM3D [22] within AMP.

For reconstructing 3D images [23, 24], we hoped to apply a sophisticated 3D denoiser. However, because the CASSI measurement matrix is structured and sparse, which violates the assumptions for which AMP systems were formulated, we often saw divergence effects. While others have considered various approaches to combat divergence [25, 26], the combination of a problematic matrix and complicated non-scalar 3D image cube denoiser was challenging. Eventually, we decided to use a simpler 3D denoiser, which is a simplified version of the adaptive Wiener filter [21]. This simplified denoiser combined with damping [26] helped the reconstruction algorithm converge. Reconstruction quality was typically 2–3 dB better in terms of square error than existing algorithms such as two-step iterative shrinkage/thresholding (TwIST) [27] and gradient projection for sparse reconstruction (GPSR) [28]. Additionally, the new algorithm is several times faster despite not needing to tune any parameters. In contrast, TwIST and GPSR would likely require running the algorithms several times for different parameter settings, yielding much slower reconstruction than our AMP-3D-Wiener approach. We hope that this line of work may help bring compressive hyper spectral imaging closer to practice. Indeed, we have started looking into technology transfer options. A US patent application describing these ideas was filed during the project.

Viewed in combination, our two compressive imaging reconstruction algorithms demonstrate the great promise of using non-separable denoisers in AMP. At the same time, the work on hyper spectral reconstruction highlights that the combination of a structured matrix and complicated denoisers may create divergence issues; we hope to expand our understanding of these challenges in future work.

Secondary results: The project also partly funded the PI’s work on three other related research projects. Two of these involved universal algorithms for signal recovery [29–31], which estimates the input statistics on the fly from the actual noisy measurements while simultaneously recovering the input. The third involved fast parallel algorithms for data compression [32, 33].

Yet another benefit of the project was the training of doctoral students. Ms. Jin Tan was completed supported by the project during the last several months of her doctoral studies; she is expected to graduate in September 2015. Ms. Yanting Ma was partly supported for several months, and is expected to graduate in 2017.

References

- [1] E. Candès, J. Romberg, and T. Tao, “Robust uncertainty principles: Exact signal reconstruction from highly incomplete frequency information,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 489–509, Feb. 2006.
- [2] D. Donoho, “Compressed sensing,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 1289–1306, Apr. 2006.
- [3] D. Takhar, J. Laska, M. Wakin, M. Duarte, D. Baron, S. Sarvotham, K. Kelly, and R. Baraniuk, “A new compressive imaging camera architecture using optical-domain compression,” *IS&T/SPIE Computational Imaging IV*, vol. 6065, Jan. 2006.
- [4] J. Tropp, M. Wakin, M. Duarte, D. Baron, and R. Baraniuk, “Random filters for compressive sampling and reconstruction,” in *IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, and Signal Process. (ICASSP)*, vol. 3, Mar. 2006, pp. 872–875.
- [5] M. Mishali and Y. Eldar, “Blind multiband signal reconstruction: Compressed sensing for analog signals,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 993–1009, Mar. 2009.
- [6] R. G. Baraniuk, “A lecture on compressive sensing,” *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 118–121, July 2007.
- [7] R. A. DeVore, B. Jawerth, and B. J. Lucier, “Image compression through wavelet transform coding,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 719–746, Mar. 1992.
- [8] I. F. Gorodnitsky and B. D. Rao, “Sparse signal reconstruction from limited data using FOCUSS: A re-weighted minimum norm algorithm,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 600–616, Mar. 1997.
- [9] R. Blahut, *Theory of remote image formation*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [10] F. Natterer and F. Wubbeling, *Mathematical methods in image reconstruction*. Society for Industrial Mathematics, 2001.
- [11] Z. P. Liang and P. C. Lauterbur, *Principles of magnetic resonance imaging: a signal processing perspective*. SPIE Optical Engineering Press, 2000.
- [12] J. F. Claerbout, *Imaging the earth’s interior*. Blackwell Scientific Publications, 1985.
- [13] A. Rajwade, D. Kittle, T. Tsai, D. Brady, and L. Carin, “Coded hyperspectral imaging and blind compressive sensing,” *SIAM J. Imag. Sci.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 782–812, Apr. 2013.
- [14] R. Willett, M. Duarte, M. Davenport, and R. Baraniuk, “Sparsity and structure in hyperspectral imaging: Sensing, reconstruction, and target detection,” *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 116–126, Jan. 2014.
- [15] S. Mallat, *A wavelet tour of signal processing*. Academic Press, 1999.
- [16] D. L. Donoho, A. Maleki, and A. Montanari, “Message passing algorithms for compressed sensing,” *Proc. Nat. Academy Sci.*, vol. 106, no. 45, pp. 18 914–18 919, Nov. 2009.
- [17] M. Bayati and A. Montanari, “The dynamics of message passing on dense graphs, with applications to compressed sensing,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 764–785, Feb. 2011.

- [18] A. Wagadarikar, N. Pitsianis, X. Sun, and D. Brady, “Spectral image estimation for coded aperture snapshot spectral imagers,” in *Proc. SPIE*, Sept. 2008, p. 707602.
- [19] J. Tan, Y. Ma, and D. Baron, “Compressive imaging via approximate message passing with wavelet-based image denoising,” in *Proc. IEEE Global Conf. Signal Inf. Process.*, Atlanta, GA, Dec. 2014.
- [20] —, “Compressive imaging via approximate message passing with image denoising,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 63, no. 8, pp. 2085–2092, Apr. 2015.
- [21] M. K. Mihçak, I. Kozintsev, K. Ramchandran, and P. Moulin, “Low-complexity image denoising based on statistical modeling of wavelet coefficients,” *IEEE Signal Process. Letters*, vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 300–303, Dec. 1999.
- [22] K. Dabov, A. Foi, V. Katkovnik, and K. Egiazarian, “Image denoising by sparse 3-D transform-domain collaborative filtering,” *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 2080–2095, Aug. 2007.
- [23] J. Tan, Y. Ma, H. Rueda, D. Baron, and G. Arce, “Application of approximate message passing in coded aperture snapshot spectral imaging,” in *Proc. IEEE Global Conf. Signal Inf. Process.*, to appear 2015.
- [24] —, “Compressive hyperspectral imaging via approximate message passing,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1507.01248*, 2015, submitted.
- [25] A. Manoel, F. Krzakala, E. W. Tramel, and L. Zdeborová, “Sparse estimation with the swept approximated message-passing algorithm,” *Arxiv preprint arxiv:1406.4311*, June 2014.
- [26] J. Vila, P. Schniter, S. Rangan, F. Krzakala, and L. Zdeborova, “Adaptive damping and mean removal for the generalized approximate message passing algorithm,” *ArXiv preprint arXiv:1412.2005*, Dec. 2014.
- [27] J. M. Bioucas-Dias and M. A. Figueiredo, “A new TwIST: Two-step iterative shrinkage/thresholding algorithms for image restoration,” *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 2992–3004, Dec. 2007.
- [28] M. Figueiredo, R. Nowak, and S. J. Wright, “Gradient projection for sparse reconstruction: Application to compressed sensing and other inverse problems,” *IEEE J. Select. Topics Signal Proces.*, vol. 1, pp. 586–597, Dec. 2007.
- [29] Y. Ma, J. Zhu, and D. Baron, “Compressed sensing via universal denoising and approximate message passing,” in *Proc. Allerton Conference Commun., Control, and Comput.*, Oct. 2014.
- [30] —, “Approximate message passing with universal denoising,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.02693*, 2015, submitted.
- [31] J. Zhu, D. Baron, and M. F. Duarte, “Recovery from linear measurements with complexity-matching universal signal estimation,” *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 1512–1527, Mar. 2015.
- [32] N. Krishnan and D. Baron, “A universal parallel two-pass MDL context tree compression algorithm,” *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 1–8, June 2015.
- [33] —, “Performance of parallel two-pass MDL context tree algorithm,” in *Proc. IEEE Global Conf. Signal Inf. Process.*, Atlanta, GA, Dec. 2014.